

Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation

(Category -1, Deemed to be University estd. u/s. 3 of the UGC Act, 1956)

Accredited by NAAC as 'A++' Approved by AICTE SISO 21001:2018 Certified Campus: Green Fields, Vaddeswaram - 522 302, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA. Phone No. +91 8645 - 350 200; www.klef.ac.in; www.klef.edu.in; www.kluniversity.in Admin Off: 29-36-38, Museum Road, Governorpet, Vijayawada - 520 002, Ph; +91 - 866 - 3500122, 2576129

OFFICE OF DEAN ACADEMICS

Policy Document

KLEF/ODA/1.1/P11001/2022/V1.0

Date: 07/04/2022

Title: Curriculum Design and Development

Policy:

Curriculum Design and Development process focuses on identifying the requirements for the Program(s) to be offered by the departments, formation of the courses, evaluation plan and modes of offering the same. It should also include the provision for supporting various career categories viz employability, entrepreneurship and research along with the benchmarks in each category aligning with the vision and mission of the department.

Departments are required to constitute the Department Academic Committee (DAC) and conduct the meetings_at regular intervals (minimum twice a year) to discuss the various aspects related to curriculum, teaching learning process, etc. and submit the proposal for due approval to the Board of Studies (BoS). BoS has to be conducted atleast twice a year inorder to discuss and pass the resolutions made in DAC on various academic aspects.

Outcomes:

• Planning the scope and opportunities of the program well in advance to meet the futuristic requirements of the domain at local, regional, national, and international levels.

- Identify appropriate courses and outcomes which maps with the Program
 Outcomes (POs) and Program Specific Outcomes (PSOs) at the highest
 Correlation levels wherever possible.
- Refining the outcomes of the programs and the courses based on the input from the stake holders and design the curriculum as per the demanding needs.
- Introduce transparency in planning the course(s), enabling the stake holders to get sensitized on the importance of the same.
- Reflecting on the effectiveness of the implementation of the courses and revising the courses appropriately.

Procedure:

- A. Department Academic Committee (DAC) (constituted at the department level by the HoD along with the senior professors of the department) is the one which plans the academic activities and the strategic priorities of the department and makes necessary recommendations to the Board of Studies (BOS). Department may have any number of DAC meetings as required and the summary of all these meetings are to be presented in the forthcoming BOS meeting.
- B. Board of Studies (BOS) is a statutory body that represents the department in the Academic Council. Vice-Chancellor shall be the competent authority to constitute/reconstitute the Boards of Studies. BOS meeting can be conducted once before the commencement of every semester to propose any changes with respect to courses, syllabus, LTPS structure, evaluation plans etc. based on the feedback received from various stake holders. These minutes will be presented to Academic Council for approval.
- C. Members of DAC will plan the implementation of regular courses, certification courses, research activities, Cohort activities, Curricular Aspects, Teaching Learning Process, OBE (Outcome Based Education), projects, internships, counselling, and any other focus areas of the department.
- D. The DAC is required to discuss on the various academic aspects listed below (but not limited to) and progress towards getting the proposal approved in Board of Studies.

Aspects to be discussed in DAC:

- 1. Discussion on Summary of Stakeholders feedback and Action to be taken on the existing Syllabus and Structure (as per Annexure-1).
- 2. Draft Structure and syllabus proposed for new batches as per the template shared by Office of Dean Academics (ODA) along with Honor and Minor tracks aligning with feedback received from stake holders (as per Annexure-2 structure and Annexure-3 syllabus templates).
- 3. Program Development Document (PDD) of the programs for which structure and syllabus was finalized (as per Annexure-4).
- 4. Categorization of courses focussing on Skill Development/career advancements for structure proposed (as per Annexure-4).
- 5. Categorization of courses focussing on Entrepreneurship for structure proposed (as per Annexure-4).
- Categorization of courses focussing on Employability for structure proposed (as per Annexure-4).
- 7. Categorization of courses that addresses the Human Values, Gender Sensitization, Ecology and Environment, life skills and transferable skills as mentioned in (Annexure 4).
- 8. Course Closure meetings and action taken on the same to be taken as inputs to design the structure and syllabus (as per Annexure-5).
- Revision of the syllabus to be carried out based on the Course Closure meeting recommendations & stake holders' feedback and the percentage of revision is to be calculated as per the procedure mentioned in Annexure –7.
- 10. Proposal of Student Activity Centre (SAC) activities as part of Informal Learning (as per Annexure-6).
- 11. Proposal of revising Current running batches course structure and syllabus (if any) in line with Stake holders' feedback(as per Annexure-7).
- 12. Proposal of Degree requirements of upcoming batch. (as per Annexure-8).
- 13. Proposal of Value-added courses for upcoming A.Y. (as per Annexure-9).

- 14. Proposal of new evaluation plan (if any) for the courses to be offered by the department for upcoming semester based on feedback received from course closure meeting (as per Annexure-10).
- 15. Proposal of Work in lieu courses (as per Annexure-11).
- 16. Hardware / Software / Infrastructure Requirements for the new programs or increased intake.
- 17. Proposal of the revision of Pre Ph.D courses.
- 18. Proposal of Courses related to benchmarking student learning at regular intervals for accessing their progression.
- 19. List of Identified Stakeholders (with details of their workplace and designation) from Industry, Academic Peers, Alumni, Entrepreneur (can be alumni/ CEO (Chief Executive Officer) of any company) to collect the feedback in person (as per Annexure-12).
- E. Departments are required to comply on all the above-mentioned points and get them verified by the ODA. After getting them verified, departments need to take the draft proposal in person to the stakeholders as listed in point no. 17 and need to maintain proofs of their meeting/interaction while collecting the feedback on all the aspects listed above.
- F. Departments are also required to collect the mail ids of students from batches graduating since 2010 or from their first graduated batch (whichever is later) till the recently graduated batch and send the feedback link available on the website (listed in Annexure 1) along with the attachments of the structure, syllabus, PDD etc. and take the feedback on the same.
- G. Also, departments are required to identify 30% 50% parents, 75 to 100 industry personal spanning from different verticals / entrepreneurs, 75 to 100 academic peers (considering the good number of specializations being offered by the departments), 30% of students from final year, etc. and share the details with them through mail to take the feedback on curriculum. The overall list of the stakeholders identified for taking the feedback must be maintained at the department level as per the annexure-12.

H. Once feedback from stakeholders is collected through the above-mentioned process, the department is required to go for DAC-2 to make necessary amendments in the draft based on the suggestions given by the stake holders. The following are the steps

to be followed by the departments in getting their proposal approved in Academic

Council.

1. Conductance of DAC-1 & preparation of draft proposal

2. Feedback on DAC-1.

3. Conductance of DAC-2 & finalization of the proposal

I. After this point, the departments may take a step ahead to start their preparation for conduction of Board of Studies (BOS) which is a statutory body that represents the

department in the Academic Council.

The composition of BOS is as follows:

Chairperson: Head of the Department

Members:

All Professors of the department (may include members listed in 2 to 5)

Research Progress Assessment Committee chairperson

PG Coordinator.

4. UG Coordinators

5. Deputy HODs

Two Associate Professors

Two Assistant Professors

Two experts from Industry or Academia. 8.

Final year students one or two from each specialization.

10. Departments are required to submit a requisition letter to conduct BOS meeting to Dean / Addl. Dean Academics (as per Annexure-13) and get consent on the same. ODA will nominate one Associate Dean from Office

consent on the same. ODA will nominate one Associate Dean Iron one

of Dean academics to attend the BoS.

J. Departments are required to submit the minutes of BoS along with DAC minutes as an

annexure to the ODA on the very next working day after the conduction of BOS

meeting.

K. DAC minutes should highlight the minutes of detailed discussions happened on the

aspects 1-17 listed earlier along with the proofs of the same in the form of individual

Annexure as part of minutes (DAC-1 and DAC-2). In addition to that, the proofs of

Conducting BOS meeting like few photographs, recordings of the meeting (if

conducted online) are to be produced along with minutes of the meeting. Signature

sheet of all the BOS members is to be attached along with the minutes.

L. Departments must make sure that their BOS minutes are numbered as per the

sequence.

M. Departments should comply with all the instructions given by the ODA on all the

listed points and must not deviate in any of them while minuting the meeting.

However, HoDs are always encouraged to put forth their opinion on any sort of

instructions given by ODA and the same will be discussed in detail before arriving at

a common conclusion. The feedback should be given by the HoDs within two working

days from the date of notification is released from the ODA so that decision on the

same can be taken within a week of notification and be informed to all other

departments.

N. BOS minutes submitted by all the department will be thoroughly verified by the ODA

before being presented in the Academic Council.

Dr. N. Venkatram

Pro Vice Chancellor

Prof. N. VENKATRAM
Pro-Vice Chancellor (Admin)

Dr Raghuveer VR Dean Academics

Dr. Raghuveer VR Dean Academics

Page 6 of 6

ANNEXURE-1

Summary of Stakeholders Feedback:

PROGRAM NAME (for which feedback was taken)

| S.NO | Stake Holders | Count |
|------|----------------|-------|
| 1 | Academic Peers | |
| 2 | Industry | |
| 3 | Alumni | |
| 4 | Faculty | |
| 5 | Parents | |
| 6 | Students | |

Academic Peers

| S.N O | Name | Qualifica tion | Design ation | Organizati on | Recommendation/Suggestion received |
|----------|------|-------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
| |): | | | | |

Industry

| S.N O | Name | Qualifica tion | Design ation | Organizati on | Recommendation/Suggestion received |
|----------|------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |

Alumni

| S.N O | Name | Qualifica tion | Design ation | Organizati on | Recommendation/Suggestion received |
|----------|------|-------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |

Faculty

| S.N O | Name | Qualifica tion | Design ation | Organizati on | Recommendation/Suggestion received |
|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
| | P. Comments | | | | |
| | | | | -20 | |

Parents

| S.N 0 | Name | Qualifica tion | Design ation | Organization | Recommendation/Suggestion received | | | | |
|----------|------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | 1 / | | | | |

Students

| S.N 0 | Name | Reg. No | Progra m of study | Year of Study | Recommendation/Suggestion received |
|----------|------|---------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |

Stake Holder Feedback Analysis and Action Taken report

| S.N o | Departme nt- Program Name | Recomm endation /Suggesti on received | Analysis (Justifica tion on what basis this feedback was taken for discussi on) | Actio n Taken | Date of DAC meeting Minutes where it has been discussed along with agenda point number | number and date of meeting conducted in which action was taken along with Annexure/page |
|----------|------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------|--|---|
| Acad | lemic Peers | | · | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | lat. | | | |
| Indu | stry | | | | | T |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Alur | nni | | | | | I |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Facı | ıltv | | | | | |
| ract | lity | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Pare | ents | | L | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Students | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |

Website Feedback Links

Academic Peers:https://www.kluniversity.in/site/Academic-Peers-Feedback-on-Curriculum.aspx

Industry:Lurriculum.aspx

Alumni: https://www.kluniversity.in/site/Alumni-Feedback-on-Curriculum.aspx

Faculty: https://www.kluniversity.in/site/Faculty-Feedback-on-Curriculum.aspx

Parents: https://www.kluniversity.in/site/Parents-Feedback-on-Curriculum.aspx

Students: https://www.kluniversity.in/site/Student-Feedback-on-Curriculum.aspx

Dr. Raghuveer VR Dean Academics

V. L. Nav

Annexure 2

KLEF

| Department of | De | par | tm | ent | of |
|---------------|----|-----|----|-----|----|
|---------------|----|-----|----|-----|----|

B.Tech _____ Admitted Batch Category wise Course Structure

| SI No | Scope of Course Offering | Course Title | Category | L | Т | P | S | Cr | СН | Pre-requisite |
|-------|--------------------------|--|----------|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|---------------|
| 1 | To all ENGG branches | English-1 (Integrated Professional English-BEC Priliminary) | HSS | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | |
| 2 | To all ENGG branches | English-2 (English Proficiency- BEC Vantage) | HSS | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | |
| 3 | To all ENGG branches | Verbal and Reasoning-1 (Essential Skills for Employability) | HSS | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | |
| 4 | To all ENGG branches | Verbal and Reasoning-2 (Corporate Readiness Skills) | HSS | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | |
| 5 | To all ENGG branches | Universal Human Values & Professional Ethics | HSS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 6 | To all ENGG branches | Indian Heritage and Culture | HSS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| 7 | To all ENGG branches | Indian Constitution | HSS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| 8 | To all ENGG branches | Ecology & Environmental Sciences | BS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 9 | To all ENGG branches | Gender Sensitization | HSS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| 10 | To all ENGG branches | Mathematics-1 (Mathematics for Computing) | BS | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4.5 | 6 | |
| 11 | To all ENGG branches | Mathematics-2 (Mathematics for Engineers) | BS | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| 12 | To all ENGG branches | Mathematics-3 (Department Specific) | BS | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| 13 | To all ENGG branches | Design Thinking and Innovation | HSS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 5 | |
| 14 | To all ENGG branches | Science Elective - 1 | BS | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | |
| 15 | To all ENGG branches | Science Elective - 2 | BS | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | |
| 16 | To all ENGG branches | Quantative and Reasoning-1 (Problem Solving Skills-I) | BS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | 4 | |
| 17 | To all ENGG branches | Quantative and Reasoning-2 (Problem Solving Skills-II) | BS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | 4 | |
| 18 | To all ENGG branches | Engineering Science-1 (Computational Thinking for Structured Design) | ES | 3 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5.5 | 11 | |
| 19 | To all ENGG branches | Engineering Science-2 (Design Tools Workshop – I) | ES | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | |
| 20 | To all ENGG branches | Engineering Science-3 (Design Tools Workshop – II) | ES | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | |
| 21 | To all ENGG branches | Engineering Science-4 (Data Structures) | ES | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 9 | |
| 22 | Department Specific | Engineering Science-5 | ES | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | |
| 23 | Department Specific | Engineering Science-6 | ES | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | |
| 24 | Department Specific | Engineering Science-7 | ES | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 . | |
| 25 | Department Specific | Engineering Science-8 | ES | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 26 | Department Specific | PC-1 | PC | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| 27 | Department Specific | PC-2 | PC | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | |
| 28 | Department Specific | PC-3 | PC | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 | |
| 29 | Department Specific | PC-4 | PC | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | |
| 30 | Department Specific | PC-5 | PC | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 31 | Department Specific | PC-6 | PC | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | |

| 32 | Department Specific | PC-7 | PC | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 | |
|----|---|---|----|---|---|---|----|-----|----|---|
| 33 | Department Specific | PC-8 | PC | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | |
| 34 | Department Specific | PC-9 | PC | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 35 | Department Specific | PC-10 | PC | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | |
| 36 | Department Specific | PC-11 | PC | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 37 | Department Specific | PC-12 | PC | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | |
| 38 | Department Specific | PC-13 | PC | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | |
| 39 | Department Specific | PC-14 | PC | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 40 | Department Specific | PC-15 | PC | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 41 | Department Specific | PC-16 | PC | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 | |
| 42 | Department Specific | Professional Elective – 1 | PE | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | |
| 43 | Department Specific | Professional Elective – 2 | PE | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | |
| 44 | Department Specific | Professional Elective – 3 | PE | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | |
| 45 | Department Specific | Professional Elective – 4 | PE | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | |
| 46 | Department Specific | Professional Elective – 5 | PE | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | |
| 47 | Across Engineering and Non Engineering | Open Elective – 1 | OE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| 48 | Across Engineering and Non Engineering | Open Elective – 2 | OE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| 49 | Across Engineering and Non Engineering | Open Elective – 3 | OE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| 50 | Across Engineering and Non Engineering | Management Elective(OE-4) | OE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| 51 | Across Engineering and Non Engineering | Foreign Language Elective(OE-5) | OE | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 52 | To all ENGG branches | Social Internship | PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | |
| 53 | To all ENGG branches | Technical Internship | PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | |
| 54 | To all ENGG branches | Industry Internship | PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | |
| 55 | To all ENGG branches | Project Based Learning -1 | PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.5 | 6 | |
| 56 | To all ENGG branches | Project based learning -2 | PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.5 | 6 | |
| 57 | To all ENGG branches | Term paper | PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | |
| 58 | To all ENGG branches | Mid Grad Capstone Project – I | PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 8 | |
| 59 | To all ENGG branches | Mid Grad Capstone Project – II | PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 8 | |
| 60 | To all ENGG branches | Project / Internship -1/Practice School | PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 16 | |
| 61 | To all ENGG branches | Project / Internship 2/Practice School | PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 16 | |
| | | Total Credits | | | | | | 160 | | |

V. L. Nar

Dr. Raghuveer VR Dean Academics

ANNEXURE-3

Reference Structure and Syllabus Template

Syllabus Template

| Course | Code- Course Name | | | | | |
|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| L-T-P-S | : Credits: | Prerequisite: | | | | |
| СО# | Course Outcome (CO) | PO | PSO | BTL | | |
| CO1 | | | | | | |
| CO2 | | | | | | |
| CO3 | | | | | | |
| CO4 | | | | | | |
| Syllabus | : | | | | | |
| Textboo | ks: | | | | | |
| Referen | ce Books: | | | | | |
| MOOCS | 5/Web Links: | | | | | |

Dr. Raghuveer VR Dean Academics

V.L.lar

Annexure 4



Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (Category -1, Deemed to be University estd. u/s. 3 of the UGC Act, 1956)

Accredited by NAAC as 'A++' ◆Approved by AICTE ❖ ISO 9001-2015 Certified Campus: Green Fields, Vaddeswaram - 522 302, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA. Phone No. 08645 - 350200; www.klef.ac.in; www.klef.edu.in; www.kluniversity.in

Admin Off: 29-36-38, Museum Road, Governorpet, Vijayawada - 520 002. Ph; +91 - 866 - 3500122, 2577715, 2576129.

| DEPARTMENT OF |
|------------------------------|
| PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT DOCUMENT |
| PROGRAM NAME |
| |

2020

| vision of University: |
|--|
| Mission of University: |
| Vision of Department: |
| Mission of Department: |
| Mission statements: |
| M1: |
| M2: |
| M3: |
| |
| Program Educational Objectives (PEOs): |
| Program Outcomes (POs): |
| Program Specific Outcomes (PSOs): |
| Student Outcomes (SOs): if applicable |
| |

Mapping of PEOs of the department with Mission Statements

PEOs mapping to mission statement table with Justification of mapping below the table along with goals

| | | | Key Co | omponents of I | Mission |
|-------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| | | M 1 | M 2 | M 3 | M 4 |
| S.NO | Description of PEOs | Training the leaders of tomorrow | Training the innovators of tomorrow | Training the outstanding career professionals of tomorrow | Conducting fundamental research |
| PEO 1 | | | | | |
| PEO 2 | | | | | |
| PEO 3 | | | | | |
| PEO 4 | | | | | |

identified to achieve PEOs

Justification of mapping and list of goals identified for attaining PEOs (Justification statements highlights how the PEOs of the program offered by the department is aligned to the mission of the department and the way it can be measured in future to understand the achievement of PEOs down the line (3-5 years after graduation). Goals are measured through the indirect feedback taken from the graduates 3 years after graduation and the same is being used to refine the PEOs or Mission of the department.

G1:

G2:

G3:

G4:

G5:

G6:

| | Thrust areas of | Engineering | | |
|---------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| LOCAL | REGIONAL | NATIONAL | GLOBAL | |
| (APIIC) | (APIIC & Industry Policy- Telangana) | (CII, NSDC, NASSCOM, etc.) | (World Economic Forum) | |

| Link to document from where | Link to document from where the needs were derived | Link to document from where the needs were derived | Link to document from where the needs were derived |
|---|--|--|--|
| from where the needs were derived | | derived | |

Mapping of Thrust areas to PEOs

| | | Description of PEOs | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------------------|------|------|------|--|--|--|
| Local, Regional, National and Global Needs | | PEO1 | PEO2 | PEO3 | PEO4 | | | |
| | Areas | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Local Needs | | | | | | | | |
| Regional Needs | | | | | | | | |
| National Needs | | | | | | | | |
| Global Needs | | | | | | | | |

Distribution of Credits

Departments are required to highlight the distribution of credits across the various course categories.

| SI No | Course Category | Short Name | No. Of courses | Minimu m Credits | Contact Hours | As per AICTE/Any other body Credits | As per ABET Credit Hours(if applicable) |
|-------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Humanities & Social Sciences | HSS | | | | | |
| 2 | Basic Sciences | BS | | | | | |
| 3 | Engineering Sciences | ES | | | | | |
| 4 | Professional Core | PC | | | | | |

Dr. Raghuveer VR

| 5 | Flexi Core | FC | | |
|---|------------------------|----|--|--|
| 6 | Professional Electives | PE | | |
| 7 | Project Courses | PR | | |
| 8 | Open Electives | OE | | |
| | Total | | | |

Program Structure

Detailed structure of the program highlighting all the courses and their credits

| Cours e Code | Course Name | Course Catego ry | L | Т | P | s | C R | Pre- Req uisit e | New/Revis ed/Retaine d | Stakehol der feedback based on which change was proposed | Focused on Employability/ Entrepreneursh ip/Skill Development or Carrer Advancement | Justification (Detailed Justification on how the course content maps to employability/entre reneurship/skill category.) |
|--------------------|----------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|--------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Percentage of Syllabus Revision= (Total Number of New Courses+ Total Number of Revised Courses)/Total number of Courses.

Percentage of Courses focusing on Employability= No. of courses focusing on Employability/ Total number of courses

Percentage of Courses focusing on Entrepreneurship= No. of courses focusing on Entrepreneurship / Total number of courses

Percentage of Courses focusing on Skill Development or Carrer advancement= No. of courses focusing on Skill Development / Total number of courses.

Courses Introduced in 2020-21 Curriculum as per Local, regional, National and Global Needs:

| Local, Reg | ional, National and Global Needs | Courses introduced in 2020-21 curriculum as per identified needs |
|--------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| Local Needs | | |
| 20000 110000 | | |
| | | |
| | | 1 1 a A |

Dr. Raghuveer VR

| Regional Needs | |
|-------------------|--|
| Needs | |
| | |
| | |
| National | |
| Needs | |
| | |
| | |
| Global Needs | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Dr. Raghuveer VR Dean Academics

V. R. Nar

| ZUZU-ZI | program, | UCHUILIICIIL | TUL |
|---------|-----------|--------------|-----|
| 2020 22 | p. 0 g. 0 | | |

MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES WITH PROGRAM OUTCOMES (POs) and PROGRAM SPECIFIC OUTCOMES (PSOs)

| S No | Course Code | Course Title | CO NO | Description of the Course Outcome | Program Outcomes | | | | mes | PS O | | | |
|------|---------------------|--------------|-------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|---------|------|----|------|
| | | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 1 5 | 6 | 7 8 | 9 10 | 11 | 12 1 |
| | ABOUT TO LOT OF BOX | | | | | | I | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2020-21 program, depar | rtment | PDD |
|------------------------|--------|-----|
|------------------------|--------|-----|

Program Articulation Matrix (Mapping of Courses with POs/SOs/PSOs)

| SI. | Course Code | Course Name | Cate | L | Т | P | S | Cr | | | | | | (PO. O) | /S | | | | | | PS | 0 |
|-----|-------------|-------------|------|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|-----|-----|---|------------|----|---|---|----|----|----|----|---|
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 2 |
| | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1 | eg. | 425 | | / | | | | | | | | |

| 2020-21p | program, department P | DD | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|----|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 2020-21 pr | ogram, de | partment i | PDD |
|------------|-----------|------------|-----|
|------------|-----------|------------|-----|

Syllabus

of courses under various categories as per the template in Annexure 3

SYLLABUS OF COURSES UNDER HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

| 2020-21 program, depo | artment | PUU |
|-----------------------|---------|-----|
|-----------------------|---------|-----|

SYLLABUS OF COURSES UNDER BASIC SCIENCES

| 2020-21 | program, | department | חחק |
|---------|----------|--------------|-----|
| 2020-21 | program, | ucpultilitie | 100 |

SYLLABUS OF COURSES UNDER ENGINEERING SCIENCES

| 2020-21 program, department PL | 020-21 | program, | department | PD |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------|------------|----|
|--------------------------------|--------|----------|------------|----|

SYLLABUS OF COURSES UNDER PROFESSIONAL CORE

V. R. Nav

| 2020-21 | program, | department | PDD |
|---------|----------|------------|-----|
| 2020 22 | program, | | |

SYLLABUS OF COURSES UNDER PROFESSIONAL ELECTIVES

| 2020-21 | program, | department | PDD |
|---------|----------|------------|-----|
| | programm | acparent | 100 |

SYLLABUS OF COURSES UNDER OPEN ELECTIVES

Annexure-5 Course Closure meetings and Action Taken

| Course Code | 2046101 | 20AC 102 | 2046 102 | 2046 104 | Course- | TOTAL DESCRIPTION |
|--|---------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| | 20AC101 | | 20AC 103 | 20AC 104 | 5 | 6 |
| Course Name | English | Mathematics- 1 | Chemistry | Engineering Physics | | |
| Course Coordinator | | | | | | |
| Emp ID | | | | | | |
| Whether the course objective and | | | | | | |
| rationale has been met? | | | | | | |
| Whether the course outcomes | | | | | | |
| have been attained to threshold | | | | | | |
| value decided by the course team | | | | | | |
| or not? | | | | | | |
| Average attendance of the | | | | | | |
| students across the semester. | | | | | | |
| Quality of the question paper and | | | | | | |
| the performance on Higher Order | | | | | | |
| Thinking (HOT) questions | | | | | | |
| justified? | | | | | | |
| Number of students taken part in | | | | | | |
| remedial classes and the outcome | | | | | | |
| of the same. | | | | | | |
| Consolidation on feedback given | | | | | | |
| by students (both preliminary and | | | | | | |
| end semester) and remedial/ | | | | | | |
| corrective action proposed by the course team. | | | | | | |
| Projects / Hackathons / | | | | | | |
| publications or any other | | | | | | |
| achievements done as a part of | | | | | | |
| the course under case studies | 14 | | | | | |
| etc. | | | | | | |
| Impact of FDP and SRP conducted | | | | | | |
| prior to handling of the course, on | 1 | | | | | |
| the delivery and evaluation of | | | | | | |
| the course and suggestions by | | | | | | |
| the course team to improvise the | | | | | | |
| same in future. | | | | | | |
| Feedback about the course from | | | | | | |
| Industry experts on the topics, lab | | | | | | |
| modules etc. | | | | | | |
| Industry Lectures conducted | | | | | | |
| towards the course outcome and | | | | | | |
| the effectiveness of the same. | | | | | | |
| Benchmarking done against any | | | | | | |
| Industry standard tools / | | | | | | |
| International | | | | | | |
| certification courses. | | | | | | |
| Feed back on course from the | | | | | | |
| alumni. | | L | | | | / |

| Challenges / hurdles with respect | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| to implementing any ALMs, use of | | | | |
| tools, complexity of the course, | | | | |
| following the session plan, etc. | | | | |
| Consolidate the | | | | |
| recommendations given by the CC | | | | |
| and the course instruction | | | | |
| team for improving the future | | | | |
| delivery of same/ | | | | |
| similar course be submitted to | | | | |
| DAC. | | | | |
| Deliberations of DAC on each | | | | |
| such recommendation and the | | | | |
| action to be taken / | | | | |
| recommendations to | , | } | | |
| be forwarded to BoS. | | | | |

Annexure-6 SAC Courses/Activities Proposal

Name of the Course / Problem Statement:

Outcomes: Expected Outcome with detailed description of what students need to attain after completing the Course / solving the problem. SAC Courses/activities must have a defined set of outcomes, specifically one outcome on background learning of the problem, two outcomes covering the activities performed and one outcome on benchmarking.

Aligning the outcomes with the rubrics should be done by the program coordinator or club incharge in order to measure the students performance.

Expected activities: Activities to be carried out by the students towards solving the problem to be listed along with the timeline for the same.

Benchmark:

Identified set of benchmarks like National /International / regional level competitions or project exhibitions or any other competing platforms either online or offline to be participated by the students for benchmarking their performance.

Dr. Raghuveer VR Dean Academics

V. L. Na

Annexure-7

Syllabus Revision

Course Code Name Category L T P S CR Pre-Requisite New/Revised/Retained Stakeholder Category Category

Percentage of Syllabus Revision= (Total Number of New Courses+ Total Number of Revised Courses)/Total number of Courses.

2. Course wise Syllabus revision of approved structure as mentioned in point 1(Program structure (with all Courses) containing following categorization).

| e | SEED OF COLD | Course Category | New Syllabus (as per Annexure -3) | Topics Added/Removed/Replace d | | Justification for the Modification | - · · |
|---|--------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------|--|-------|
| | | | | | % of | | |
| | | | | | revision | | |
| | } | 1 | | | carried | | |
| | | | | | out on | | |
| | | | | | each | | |
| | | | | | outcome | | |

^{*}Overall Revision Percentage= sum of percentage of Course Outcome revision. Any revision to the tune of 25% or above is considered as a new course. In all other cases the course code is changed and the course is considered as a revised course.

Each CO revision percentage is calculated based on the extent to which the outcome has been revised through addition or deletion of topics.

Dr. Raghuveer VR Dean Academics

V. R. Nav

Annexure-8

<u>Degree Requirements of programs offered by departments</u>

This document supplements the KLEF rules and regulations to provide assistance to all Regulation – Program name students. It is required that every individual must abide by these regulations.

| regulations. | Department Name |
|--|--|
| Minimum Credits (Covering all Mandatory | |
| courses) | 165 |
| Professional Electives minimum Credits | 5 Courses with a minimum of 15 credits |
| Open/Management/Foreign Language Electives | 2 OE's- minimum 6 credits, |
| minimum credits | ME-3 minimum credits, |
| | Foreign Language elective- 2 credits minimum |
| Certification Courses-Technical | 3 |
| | (One must be a global certification course in discipline |
| Certification Courses-Non-Technical | domain areas) |
| Industrial training | 4 Weeks minimum |
| Social Service Activities | 40 hrs |
| Minimum CGPA at the end of the Program. | 5.25 |
| | CGPA of 8.5 or higher at the end of semester 4. |
| Honors Degree Requirement | 20 additional credits through Additional courses or Courses offered through advanced mode, other than the courses required as per the program. if CGPA of 8.5 by the end of 4th semester or higher is maintained in each subsequent semester without attempting betterment after registering for Degree with Honors. In case a student fails to meet the CGPA requirement for a Degree with Honors at any point after registration, s/he will be dropped from the list of students eligible for a Degree with Honors and they will receive B.Tech. Degree only. However, the additional courses completed by them will be mentioned in their grade sheet. |
| Degree with Specialization Requirement | Must successfully complete five (5) professional elective courses from a single specialized area with a minimum of 15 credits Must complete term paper and project (as per the minimum requirements for award of B.Tech. degree) in the same area of specialization. Attain a minimum CGPA of 6.75 at the end of the Program. Students should successfully acquire 20 additional |
| Degree with Minors requirement | credits by registering for courses offered in the category of professional core/professional electives from another department. CGPA of 7.0 at the time of award of degree |

Dean Academics

Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (Category -1, Deemed to be University estd. u/s. 3 of the UGC Act, 1956)

Accredited by NAAC as 'A++' ◆Approved by AICTE ◆ ISO 9001-2015 Certified Campus: Green Fields, Vaddeswaram - 522 302, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA. Phone No. 08645 - 350200; www.klef.ac.in; www.klef.edu.in; www.kluniversity.in Admin Off; 29-36-38, Museum Road, Governorpet, Vijayawada - 520 002, Ph; +91 - 866 - 3500122, 2577715, 2576129.

ANNEXURE-9 Value Added Courses

Course Name: Course Code: to be allotted by ODA

Outcomes:

Category: Employability / Entrepreneurship / Skill development or Carrer Advancement

Level: 1/2/3 (for higher level courses the previous levels may be a prerequisite)

Offering Organization: Course offering organization details (Name, address, website, email, phone no.)

Mode: Offline / Online

International Certification associated with the course:

Duration of Course: To be minimum 40 hours

Syllabus / List of modules:

Head of the Department

Dr. Raghuveer VR Dean Academics

V. R. Nar

Annexure-10

SAMPLE EVALUATION PLAN:

| Evaluation Type | Evaluation Component | Weightage/M | arks | Assessment Dates | Duration (Hours) | CO1 | CO2 | СОЗ | CO4 |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|
| | Sem-In Exam-I | Weightage | 15 | Test 1 | 2 | 7.5 | 7.5 | | |
| In-Semester | | Max Marks | 50M | Dates | | 25 | 25 | | |
| Summative | Sem-In Exam -II | Weightage | 15 | | | | | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| Evaluation Total = 30 % | | Max Marks | 50M | Test 2 Dates | 2 | | | 25 | 25 |
| | ALMs | Weightage | 10 | Cantinuana | Zerolinetia. | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Formative | | Max Marks | 120N | 1 Continuous | Continuous Evaluation | | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Evaluation | Home Assignment | Weightage | 10 | Continue | Continuous Evaluation | | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Total = 30 % | | Max Marks | 40M | Continuous | | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 10141 20 / | Tutorials | Weightage | 10 | Continu | г т | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| | | Max Marks | 80M | Continuous | Continuous Evaluation | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| End- Semester Summative Evaluation Total=40 % | Semester End Exam | Weightage | 40 | | | 20 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | | Max Marks | 100N | End Sem Exam Dates | 3 hrs | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |

^{*}Strong Justification for adopting new evaluation method to be submitted by the Course Coordinator, duly signed by the HoD. ODA will issue a unique evaluation plan code which is to be followed for students undergoing this course in current or any further offerings.

Dr. Raghuveer VR Dean Academics

V. L. lar

Annexure-11

Workin lieu courses Proposal

Name of the Course to be done in Work In Lieu mode:

Course Outcome(s): List of expected Outcomes that the students will attain by the completion of Course.

Name, address / website of the Industry where the student is required to work: Industry Mentor Name / Designation / Qualification / email / Phone: Mode of work: Online / Offline

Expected activities: Activities to be undergone by the students as a part of the Work in Lieu course aligning with the course outcome(s) defined for the course

Evaluation: as per the Work In Lieu policy of ODA to be followed)

Dr. Raghuveer VR Dean Academics

V. R. Nar

ANNEXURE-12

List of identified Stakeholders

| Stakeholder Name | Stake Holder Category | Stake Holder Organization | Email |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |